

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,959 03/03/2		03/03/2004	Hal H. Katz	END-5011USNP	5088	
27777	7590	08/09/2006		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S.	JOHNSO	N	KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER			
JOHNSON				APTIBUT	DA DED AULADED	
ONE JOHN	SON & JO	HNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUI	VSWICK,	NJ 08933-7003	3763			

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	ı				(c					
		Application No	ο.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/791,959		KATZ ET AL.						
		Examiner		Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Christopher D.	Koharski	3763	_					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
	• •	Y IS SET TO E	XPIRE 3 MONTH(S	S) OR THIRTY (30)	DAYS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	une 2006.								
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application									
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
•	Claim(s) <u>16-22</u> is/are rejected.									
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.							
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note t	ne attached Office	Action of form Fire	J-102.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmer	nt(s)									
1) 🔯 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) (	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-	·152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:										

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges the amended claims 16 and 17 and withdrawn claims 1-15, currently claims 16-22 are pending for examination.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

No information disclosure statement (IDS) was submitted and there is not in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is not considering any information disclosure statement.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 16, 17, 18, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hickle et al. (US2003/0135087). Regarding claim 16, Hickle et al.

Art Unit: 3763

discloses a micro-processor based system that uses at least one sensor to monitor physiological patient parameters and starts a patient record and also stores historical data with at least a second connection element to the micro-processor (Figure 1, Page 2 [0011]).

Regarding claim 17, Hickle et al. further discloses a drug cassette system for delivering medication to the patent (Page 4, [0061]).

Regarding claim 18, Hickle et al. discloses the monitoring physiological conditions and disconnecting input signals and terminating a patient cases to start a new one (Page 17, [0162]).

Regarding claim 20, Hickle et al. discloses the step of querying the patient for consciousness (Figure 17).

Regarding claim 22, Hickle et al. discloses the step of priming an IV tube with a drug volume that is considered during the dose administration process (Page 14, [0135]).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hickle et al. in view of Hickle (6,807,965). Hickle et al. meets the claim limitations as described above but does not include the step of delivering oxygen.

However, Hickle teaches a sedation device that dispenses oxygen. The reference teaches an apparatus that provides oxygen to the patient (Figure 7A).

At the time of the invention, it would been obvious to use method of Hickle et al. with apparatus of Hickle to dispense oxygen. Both references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Additionally, Hickle provides the motivation that that oxygen is used as a delivery agent for sedation.

Therefore, one skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Hickle stating the use of oxygen as a treating medium.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hickle et al. in view of Hickle (6,807,965). Hickle et al. meets the claim limitations as described above but does not include the step of a patient activating a response device.

However, Hickle teaches a patient response device that can be used. The reference teaches an apparatus that allows user input (col 21, ln 8-14, and element 226)).

At the time of the invention, it would been obvious to use input device with Hickle et al. Both references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Additionally, Hickle provides the motivation that the handheld device allows the patient to actively respond to the user. Therefore, one skilled in the

art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Hickle stating the use of a handheld patient input device.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 16-18, 20 and 22 stating that Hickle et al. does not teach or suggest a micro-processor based patient unit having at least one second connection point to a micro-processor based procedure unit. Hickle et al. shows several processor-based units (8,7,4, and 1), the elements are processor controller units and are the patient based unit, controller, user interface, and drug delivery device. Connection elements are present between all of these elements are capable of meeting applicants claims (Figure 1).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/791,959

Art Unit: 3763

1

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D. Koharski

AU 3763

Page 6